
Vol. 1 of 5 EP-457/2013/C Central Kowloon Route Central Tunnel Contract No. HY/2018/08 November 2020





Monthly EM&A Report No. 15 (November 2020)

0097/19/ED/0494 03 | 11 December 2020 Verified Bouygues Travaux Publics – Contract No. HY/2018/08

0097/19/ED/0494 03 | Monthly EM&A Report No. 15 (November 2020) Page i of vii







Environmental Permit No. EP-457/2013/C

Central Kowloon Route

Independent Environmental Checker Verification

Works Contract:	Central Tunnel (HY/2018/08)
-----------------	-----------------------------

Reference Document/Plan

Document/Plan to be Certified/ Verified:	Monthly EM&A Report No.15
Date of Report:	11 December 2020 (Rev. 03)
Date received by IEC:	11 December 2020

Reference EP Condition

Environmental Permit Condition: 3.4

Submission of Monthly EM&A Report of the Project

3.4 Four hard copies and one electronic copy of monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of each reporting month throughout the entire construction period. The EM&A Reports shall include a summary of all non-compliance. The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as complying with the requirements as set out in the EM&A Manual before submission to the Director. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided to the Director upon request by the Director.

IEC Verification

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/plan complies with the above referenced condition of EP-457/2013/C.

Mandy 20.

Ms Mandy To Independent Environmental Checker

Date:

11 December 2020

Our ref: 0436942_IEC Verification Cert_CT_Monthly EM&A Rpt No.15_20201211.docx

Document Control

Document Information

Project Title	Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route – Central Tunnel
Document Title	Monthly EM&A Report No. 15 (November 2020)
Fugro Project No.	0097/19
Fugro Document No.	0097/19/ED/0494
Issue Number	03
Issue Status	Verified

Client Information

Client	Bouygues Travaux Publics – Contract No. HY/2018/08
Client Address	Bouygues Travaux Publics, 3/F, Island Place Tower, 510 King's Road, North Point, Hong Kong
Client Contact	Mr. KAM Hing Lam, Alan
Client Document No.	Consultancy Agreement No. CA0001

Revision History

Issue	Date	Status	Comments on Content	Prepare d By	Checked By	Review and certified By
03	11 December 2020	Verified	No adverse comment from IEC	VK	CL	DH
02	9 December 2020	Amended	IEC comment released	VK	CL	DH
01	4 December 2020	Amended	IEC comment released	VK	CL	DH

Environmental Team

Initials	Name	Role	Signature
VK	Vanoss C.P. KAM	Assistant Environmental Consultant	6
CL	Cyrus C.Y. LAI	Senior Environmental Consultant	
DH	David Y.O. HUNG	Environmental Team Leader	yorty



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. Introduction

This is the 15th Monthly EM&A Report prepared by Fugro Technical Services Limited for the Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route – Central Tunnel. The Contract No. HY/2018/08 commenced on 19 September 2019. This report summarized the monitoring results and audits findings of the EM&A programme under the issued EP (EP No.: EP-457/2013/C) and in accordance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting period from 01/11/2020 to 30/11/2020.

II. Summary of Main Works Undertaken and Key Measures Implemented

The main construction works carried out in the reporting period were as follow:

<u>Ho Man Tin</u>

- Drill and Blast excavation;
- Mucking out from tunnel;
- Shaft installation;
- Erection of site office.

<u>Yau Ma Tei</u>

- Drill and break for pilot tunnel;
- Mucking out from tunnel;
- Site installation.

<u>Ma Tau Kok</u>

- Drill and break Excavation;
- Reinforcement for the access shaft;
- Site installation.

III. Summary of Exceedances, Investigation and Follow-up

No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for construction dust monitoring in the reporting month.

One (1) Action Level exceedance was recorded for construction noise due to the noise-related complaint. No Limit Level exceedance was recorded for construction noise monitoring in the reporting month.

IV. Complaint Handling, Prosecution and Public Engagement.

Four (4) noise-related complaints were received in the last reporting month (i.e. 4, 12 and 14 October 2020). The investigation reports for the complaints were finalized on 24 November, 8 and 11 December 2020 respectively.

One (1) landscape and visual and noise-related complaint was received in the reporting month. The complaint cases in October and November 2020 are summarized in **Table I**.



Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Nature of Complaint	Status
EC032_CKRCT2 0201004_108	4 th October 2020	Contract Complaint Hotline	Construction Noise	Investigation report was finalized on 24 November 2020.
EC034_CKRCT2 0201012_115	12 th October 2020	Contract Complaint Hotline	Construction Noise	Investigation report was finalized on 8 December 2020.
EC035_CKRCT2 0201012_116	12 th October 2020	1823	Construction Noise	Investigation report was finalized on 11 December 2020.
EC036_CKRCT2 0201014_118	14 th October 2020	1823 & Email	Construction Noise	Investigation report was finalized on 8 December 2020.
EC037_CKRCT2 0201102_125	2 nd November 2020	Contract Complaint Hotline	Landscape and Visual & Construction Noise	Investigation report was finalized on 8 December 2020.

Table I Environmental Complaints Log

No notification of summons and prosecutions were received in the reporting period.

No public engagement activities were conducted in the reporting period.

V. <u>Reporting Change</u>

There were no reporting changes during the reporting month.

VI. Future Key Issues

The main works will be anticipated in the next reporting period are as follow:

<u>Ho Man Tin</u>

- Drill and Blast excavation;
- Mucking out from tunnel;
- Shaft Installation;
- Erection of site office.

<u>Yau Ma Tei</u>

- Drill and break for pilot tunnel;
- Mucking out from tunnel;
- Site installation.

<u>Ma Tau Kok</u>

- Drill and break Excavation;
- Reinforcement for the access shaft;
- Site installation.

The recommended mitigation measures corresponding to the main works in the next reporting period are listed as follow:



- Good relationship shall be maintained with the nearby sensitive receivers/ stakeholders which may be affected by the construction works such as providing better/ more detail information of the work nature and inform in advance of the works to the nearby residents;
- Any excavated, stockpile of dusty material or load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting;
- All construction plants / machineries should be checked / serviced on a regular basis during the courses of construction to minimize the emission of noise generation and eliminate dark smoke emission;
- All C&D materials generated should be transported and stored at temporary storage area. Suitable materials should be sorted for reuse on-site;
- Every vehicle shall be cleaned thorough at the designated wheel washing area onsite;
- Wastewater generated from drilling shall be properly collected for reuse or treated by wastewater treatment facilities before discharge;
- Provision of drip trays for equipment likely cause spillage of chemical / fuel, and provide routine maintenance;
- Erecting temporary noise barrier for noisy Powered Mechanical Equipment (PME) and deployment of Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME) as many as practicable;
- Conditions in the Environmental Permit and License should be followed;
- All recommended mitigation measures specified in the approved EIA Report and EM&A Manual shall be implemented.

The following EP submissions (EP-457/2013/C) were submitted during the reporting month:

EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
3.4	Monthly EM&A Report (October 2020)	13 November 2020

Table II Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit



Contents

EXE	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Project Organization	2
1.3	Construction Programme and Activities	2
1.4	Works undertaken during the month	2
1.5	Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits	2
2.	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	4
2.1	Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring Location	4
2.2	Construction Dust Monitoring	5
2.3	Construction Noise Monitoring	7
2.4	Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring Schedule	9
2.5	The Action and Limit Levels	9
2.6	Landscape and Visual	9
3.	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING RESULTS	10
3.1	Construction Dust Monitoring	10
3.2	Construction Noise Monitoring	10
3.3	Waste Management	11
3.4	Landscape and Visual	11
4.	ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT	12
5.	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE	13
5.1	Complaint Handling, Prosecution and Public Engagement	13
5.2	Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance	14
5.3	Summary of Monitoring Exceedance	14
6.	IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURE	15
7.	FUTURE KEY ISSUES	16
8.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	18



Tables

Table I – Environmental Complaints Log	ii
Table II – Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit	iii
Table 1.1 – Contact Information of Key Personnel	2
Table 1.2 – Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits Summary	2
Table 2.1 – Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring Location	3
Table 2.2 – Construction Dust Monitoring Equipment	3
Table 2.3 – Construction Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration	6
Table 2.4 – Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment	6
Table 3.1 – Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period	8
Table 3.2 – Summary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period	8
Table 3.3 – Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period	8
Table 4.1 – Observations and Recommendation of Site Inspection in the Reporting Period	10
Table 5.1 – Environmental Complaints Log	12
Table 6.1 – Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit	14

Figures

Figure 1	The Site Layout Plan of the Contract
----------	--------------------------------------

Figure 2.1	The Location of the Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring Stations	
------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Figure 2.2 The Location of the Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring Stations

Figure 2.3 The Location of the Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring Stations

Appendices

Appendix A Construction Programme

Appendix B Project Organization Chart

Appendix C Calibration Certificate for Construction Dust Monitoring Equipment

Appendix D Calibration Certificate for Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

Appendix E Environmental Monitoring Schedule

Appendix F Action and Limit Levels for Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring

Appendix G Construction Dust Monitoring Results and Meteorological Observations

Appendix H Construction Noise Monitoring Results

Appendix I Event and Action Plan

Appendix J Implementation Status of Environment Mitigation Measures (Construction Phase)

Appendix K Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Appendix L Waste Flow Table



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 In order to meet the traffic demand and relieve traffic congestion on the existing east-west roads across Central Kowloon, Central Kowloon Route (CKR) (hereinafter referred to as "the Project") is proposed which is a 4.7 km long dual 3-lane trunk road in Central Kowloon linking Yau Ma Tei Interchange in West Kowloon with the road network on Kai Tak Development and Kowloon Bay in East Kowloon.
- 1.1.2 The Central Kowloon Route Design and Construction Environmental Impact Assessment Report (Register No.: AEIAR-171/2013) was approved with conditions by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on 11 July 2013. An Environmental Permit (EP-457/2013) was issued on 9 August 2013. Variations of EP (VEP) was subsequently applied for and the latest EP (EP-457/2013/C) was issued by EPD on 16 January 2017.
- 1.1.3 Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route Central Tunnel (hereinafter referred to as "the Contract"), is one of the contracts of CKR which included the construction of the central tunnel, cut-and-cover tunnels at Yau Ma Tei and Ma Tau Kok and construction of piles and diaphragm walls for Ho Man Tin ventilation building.
- 1.1.4 Fugro Technical Services Limited (FTS) was appointed by Bouygues Travaux Publics (BTP) as the Environmental Team (ET) under the Contract no. HY/2018/08) to execute the environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) programme under the issued EP (EP No.: EP-457/2013/C) and in accordance with the EM&A Manual.
- 1.1.5 This is the 15th Monthly EM&A Report prepared by FTS for the Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route Central Tunnel. The Contract No. HY/2018/08 commenced on 19 September 2019. This report summarized the monitoring results and audits findings of the EM&A programme under the issued EP (EP No.: EP-457/2013/C) and in accordance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting period from 01/11/2020 to 30/11/2020.



1.2 Project Organization

1.2.1 The Project Organization structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers are summarized in **Table 1.1**.

Table 1.1 Contact Information of Key Personnel				
Party	Position	Name	Telephone	
Highways Department (HyD)	E13/CKR	Mr. Joe Lam	2762 3380	
Arup-Mott MacDonald Joint Venture (AMMJV)	Senior Resident Engineer	Mr. Ben Poon	3619 5967	
ERM-Hong Kong, Limited (ERM)	Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)	Ms. Mandy To	2271 3000	
Bouygues Travaux Publics (BTP)	Environmental Manager	Mr. Simon Wong	9281 4346	
Fugro Technical Services Limited	ET Leader	Mr. David Hung	3565 4371	

Fugio recimical services Limited ET Leader

1.3 Construction Programme and Activities

- 1.3.1 The construction phase of this Contract under the EP commenced in October 2019. The site layout plan of the Contract is shown in **Figure 1**.
- 1.3.2 The construction programme of this Contract is shown in **Appendix A**.

1.4 Works undertaken during the month

1.4.1 The main construction works carried out in the reporting period were as follow:

<u>Ho Man Tin</u>

- Drill and Blast excavation;
- Mucking out from tunnel;
- Shaft installation;
- Erection of site office.

<u>Yau Ma Tei</u>

- Drill and break for pilot tunnel;
- Mucking out from tunnel;
- Site installation.

<u>Ma Tau Kok</u>

- Drill and break Excavation;
- Reinforcement for the access shaft;
- Site installation.



1.5 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits

1.5.1 A summary of the relevant permits, licenses and/or notifications on environmental protection for this Contract is presented in **Table 1.2**.

Permit/ Notification/ License	Reference No	Valid From	Valid Till
Form NB	448930, 448970, 448971	Mid-Sep 2019	NA
Billing Account for Disposal of C&D waste	Account no. 7034790	6 Aug 2019	NA
Chemical Waste Producer Registration	5111-236-B2557-02	25 Sep 2019	NA
Construction Noise Permit (Ho Man Tin Construction site)	GW-RE0817-20	8 Oct 2020	30 Nov 2020
Water Discharge License	WT00034873-2019	22 Nov 2019	30 Nov 2024
	WT00035436-2019	2 Apr 2020	30 Apr 2025

Table 1.2Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits Summary



2 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

2.1 Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring Locations

2.1.1 Three construction dust monitoring locations and five construction noise monitoring locations pertinent to the Project have been identified based on the approved EM&A Manual for the Project. The locations of the construction dust and noise monitoring stations are summarized in **Table 2.1** as displayed in **Figures 2.1 and 2.3**.

Table 2.1	able 2.1 Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring Locations		
Dust Monitoring Station ID	Noise Monitoring Station ID	Monitoring Location	
M-A3	M-N3	SKH Tsoi Kung Po Secondary School	
/	E-N12a	19 Hing Yan Street	
E-A14a	E-N21a	Block B of Merit Industrial Centre	
W-A6	/	Man Cheong building	
/	W-N18	Hydan Place	
/	W-N25A	Prosperous Garden Block 1	

Notes:

1. The dust and noise monitoring stations proposed in the EM&A Manual for M-A6/ M-N6 (i.e. Ko Fai House, Kwun Fai Court) was not available for impact dust and noise monitoring, therefore impact monitoring was conducted at M-A3/ M-N3 as an alternative which was agreed by the ER, IEC and EPD;

2. The noise monitoring station proposed in the EM&A Manual for M-N12 (i.e. Grand Waterfront Tower 3) was not available for impact dust and noise monitoring, therefore impact monitoring was conducted at M-N12a as an alternative which was agreed by the ER, IEC and EPD;

3. The dust and noise monitoring stations proposed in the EM&A Manual for M-A14 (i.e. Wyler Gardens) and M-N21 (i.e Hang Chien Court Block J) was not available for impact dust and noise monitoring, therefore impact monitoring was conducted at E-A14a/ E-N21a as an alternative which was agreed by the ER, IEC and EPD;

4. The dust monitoring stations proposed in the EM&A Manual for W-A5 (i.e. The Coronation) was not available for impact dust monitoring, therefore impact monitoring was conducted at W-A6 as an alternative which was agreed by the ER, IEC and EPD.

- 2.1.2 The construction dust and noise monitoring locations at M-A3 and M-N3 are covered by Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route Central Tunnel whereas the construction dust and noise monitoring locations at E-A14a, E-N12a and E-N21a are now covered by Contract No. HY/2014/07 Central Kowloon Route Kai Tak West. The monitoring results at E-A14a, E-N12a and E-N21a in the reporting month are presented in the monthly EM&A Report prepared by Contract No. HY/2014/07.
- 2.1.3 The construction dust and noise monitoring locations at W-A6, W-N18 and W-N25A are now covered by Contract No. HY/2014/08 Central Kowloon Route –Yau Ma Tei East. The monitoring results at W-A6, W-N18 and W-N25A in the reporting month are presented in the monthly EM&A Report prepared by Contract No. HY/2014/08.



2.2 Construction Dust Monitoring

Monitoring Requirement

2.2.1 In accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, 1-hour and 24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) levels should be measured at the designated air quality monitoring stations to indicate the impacts of construction dust on air quality. Regular Impact 24-hour TSP monitoring should be carried out for at least once every 6 days, and 1-hour TSP monitoring should be done at least 3 times every 6 days when the highest dust impact occurs.

Monitoring Equipment

- 2.2.2 24-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using High Volume Sampler (HVS) deployed at the designated monitoring station. The HVS shall meet all the requirements of the EM&A Manual.
- 2.2.3 A portable direct reading dust meter was used to carry out the 1-hour TSP monitoring.
- 2.2.4 The model of the dust monitoring equipment used is summarized in **Table 2.2**.

Equipment	Brand	Model	Serial No.	
High Volume Sampler	Tisch	TE-5170	4388	
(24-hour TSP)				
Calibrator	Tisch	TE-5025A	2154	
Portable direct reading dust meter (1-hour TSP)	Sibata	LD-5R	882149	

Table 2.2 Construction Dust Monitoring Equipment



Monitoring Methodology for HVS

- 2.2.5 The following guidelines were adopted during the installation of HVS:
 - Sufficient support is provided to secure the samplers against gusty wind.
 - No two samplers are placed less than 2 meters apart.
 - The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, is at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler.
 - A minimum of 2 meters of separation from walls, parapets and penthouses is required for rooftop samples.
 - A minimum of 2 meters separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required.
 - No furnaces or incineration flues are nearby.
 - Airflow around the samplers is unrestricted.
 - The samplers are more than 20 meters from the drip line.
 - Any wire fence and gate, to protect the sampler, should not cause any obstruction during monitoring.
 - Permission must be obtained to set up the samplers and to obtain access to the monitoring stations.
 - A secured supply of electricity is needed to operate the samplers.
- 2.2.6 Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler shall be properly set. The power supply should be checked to ensure the proper functioning of the sampler. The sampler is recommended to be operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the designated air monitoring station.
- 2.2.7 The filter holding frame should be removed by loosening the four nuts and placing carefully a weighted and conditioned filter at the centre with the stamped number upwards on a supporting screen.
- 2.2.8 The filter should be aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an airtight seal on the outer edges of the filter. The filter holding frame should be tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- 2.2.9 A programmed timer should be used to control the duration of operation. Information should be recorded on the record sheet, which included the starting time, the weather condition and the filter number.
- 2.2.10 After sampling process is finished, the filter should be removed and sent to the laboratory for weighting. The elapsed time should also be recorded.
- 2.2.11 All filters should be equilibrated in a conditioning environment for 24 hours before weighting. The conditioning environment temperature should be between 25°C and 30°C and not vary by more than ±3°C; the relative humidity (RH) should be <50% and not vary by more than ±5%. A convenient working RH is 40%.



Maintenance and Calibration for HVS

- 2.2.12 The high volume motors and their accessories should be properly maintained, including routine motor brushes replacement and electrical wiring checking, to ensure that the equipment and a continuous power supply were in good working condition.
- 2.2.13 Initial calibration of dust monitoring equipment shall be conducted upon installation and thereafter at fortnightly intervals. The transfer standard shall be traceable to the internationally recognized primary standard and be calibrated annually. The calibration certificate for the HVS is provided in Appendix C.

Monitoring Methodology for Direct Reading Dust Meter

- 2.2.14 Portable Laser Particle Photometer Monitors should be operated in accordance with the Manufacturer's instruction Manual as below:
 - a) Pulling up the air sampling inlet cover
 - b) Changing the Mode 0 to BG
 - c) Pressing Start/Stop switch
 - d) Turning the knob to SENSI.ADJ and press it
 - e) Pressing Start/Stop switch again
 - f) Returning the knob to the position MEASURE slowly
 - g) Pressing the timer set switch to set measuring time
 - h) Removing the cap and start the measurement

Maintenance and Calibration for Direct Reading Dust Meter

2.2.15 ET shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to prove that the instrument is capable of achieving comparable results to the HVS. The instrument should also be calibrated regularly, and the 1-hour sampling shall be determined periodically by the HVS to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method. The calibration certificate for the direct reading dust meter is provided in **Appendix C**.

2.3 Construction Noise Monitoring

Monitoring Requirement

2.3.1 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, impact noise monitoring should be conducted at the designated noise monitoring stations for at least once a week during the construction phase of the Project. The parameters, frequency and duration of impact noise monitoring is summarized in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3	Table 2.3 Construction Noise Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Duration				
Parameter		Duration	Frequency		
A-weighted equ continuous sour level (Leq). L10 a also recorded	nd pressure	30 minutes	At least once per week		



Monitoring Equipment

T-1-1- 2 4

- 2.3.2 Noise monitoring was performed using sound level meter at the designated monitoring station. The sound level meters deployed comply with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651:1979 (Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications. Acoustic calibrator was deployed to check the sound level meters at a known sound pressure level.
- 2.3.3 The model of the noise monitoring equipment used is summarized in **Table 2.4**.

Construction Maine Mentening Factories

Table 2.4 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment				
Equipment	Brand	Model	Serial No.	
Acoustic Calibrator	Casella	CEL-120/1	5230736	
Sound Level Meter	Casella	CEL-63X	3576127	

Monitoring Methodology

2.3.4 Noise measurement should be conducted as the following procedures:

a) Free field measurements was made at monitoring location M-N3. A correction of +3 dB(A) shall be made to the free field measurements.

b) The battery condition should be checked to ensure good functioning of the meter.

c) Parameters such as frequency weighting, the time weighting and the measurement time should set as follow:

- (i) Frequency weighting: A
- (ii) Time weighting: Fast
- (iii) Measurement time: continuous 5 minutes interval

d) Prior to and after noise measurement, the meter shall be calibrated using the calibrator for 94.0 dB at 1000 Hz. If the difference in the calibration level before and after measurement is more than 1.0 dB, the measurement will be considered invalid and repeat of noise measurement is required after re-calibration or repair of the equipment.

e) The wind speed at the monitoring station shall be checked with the portable wind meter. Noise monitoring should be cancelled in the presence of fog, rain, and wind with a steady speed exceeding 5 m/s, or wind with gusts exceeding 10 m/s.

f) Noise measurement should be paused during periods of high intrusive noise if possible and observation shall be recorded when intrusive noise is not avoided.

g) At the end of the monitoring period, the Leq, L10 and L90 should be recorded. In addition, site conditions and noise sources should also be recorded on a standard record sheet.





Maintenance and Calibration

2.3.5 Maintenance and calibration procedures should also be carried out, including:

a) The microphone head of the sound level meter and calibrator should be cleaned with a soft cloth at quarterly intervals.

b) The sound level meter and calibrator should be calibrated annually by a HOKLAS laboratory or the manufacturer.

The calibration certificates for noise monitoring equipment are provided in **Appendix D**

2.4 Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring Schedule

2.4.1 The environmental monitoring schedule for the reporting period is provided in **Appendix E**.

2.5 The Action and Limit Levels

2.5.1 The Action and Limit Levels for construction dust and noise monitoring are provided in **Appendix F**.

2.6 Landscape and Visual

2.6.1 As per the EM&A Manual, the landscape and visual mitigation measures shall be implemented and site inspections should be undertaken once every two weeks during the construction period. A summary of the implementation status is presented in **Section 4**.



3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING RESULTS

3.1 Construction Dust Monitoring

Table

- 3.1.1 The monitoring results for 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP are summarized in Table 3.1 and Table
 3.2 respectively. Detailed construction dust monitoring results and daily extract of meteorological observations are presented in Appendix G.
- 3.1.2 The monitoring results at E-A14a and W-A6 are reported in the monthly EM&A Reports prepared by Contract No. HY/2014/07 and Contract No. HY/2014/08 respectively.

Tab	le 3.1 Sur	nmary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring	ng Period	
Station ID	Average (µg/m³)	Range (µg/m³)	Action Level (µg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)
M-A3	58	42-74	333	500

	unanany of 24 hours TCD Manitoving Deputs in the Departing Devial	
9.2	ummary of 24-hour TSP Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period	

Station ID	Average (µg/m³)	Range (µg/m ³)	Action Level (µg/m³)	Limit Level (µg/m³)
M-A3	64	29-104	153	260

- 3.1.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring and 24-hour TSP monitoring at the monitoring location in the reporting month.
- 3.1.4 Major dust sources during the monitoring included nearby traffic emission.
- 3.1.5 The Event and Action Plan for the construction dust monitoring is given in **Appendix I**.

3.2 Construction Noise Monitoring

- 3.2.1 The monitoring results for noise are summarized in **Table 3.3** and the monitoring data is given in **Appendix H**.
- 3.2.2 The monitoring results at E-N12a, E-N21a, W-N18 and W-N25A are reported in the monthly EM&A Reports prepared by Contract No. HY/2014/07 and Contract No. HY/2014/08 respectively.



	Table 3.3	Summary of Construct	tion Noise Monitoring Resu	Its in the Reporting Period
Station ID	¹ Measured Noise Level, dB(A), Leq (30 mins)	² Corrected Noise Level, dB(A), Leq (30 mins)	Action Level	³ Limit Level dB(A), Leq (30 mins)
M-N3	67.3-69.3	NA	When one documented compliant is received	For Schools: 70dB(A) during normal teaching period and 65 dB(A) during examination periods

- 3.2.3 No Limit Level exceedance was recorded for construction noise monitoring at the monitoring location in the reporting month.
- 3.2.4 No Action Level exceedances were recorded for construction noise due to the noise-related complaints received in the reporting month.
- 3.2.5 Major noise sources during the monitoring included nearby traffic emission.
- 3.2.6 The Event and Action Plan for the construction noise monitoring is given in **Appendix I.**

3.3 Waste Management

- 3.3.1 Auditing of waste management practices during regular site inspections confirmed that the waste generated during construction were properly, stored, handled and disposed of in general. The Contractor was responsible for the implementation of any mitigation measures to reduce waste or redress issues arising from the waste materials.
- 3.3.2 The implemented environmental mitigation measures for the waste management in the reporting period are summarized in **Appendix J**. The summary of observations and recommendations made for waste management during the site inspections are presented in **Table 4.1**
- 3.3.3 Monthly summary of waste flow table is detailed in **Appendix L**.

3.4 Landscape and Visual

- 3.4.1 Bi-weekly inspection of the implementation of landscape and visual mitigation measures were conducted on 3 and 17 November 2020. The implementation the landscape and visual mitigation measures in the reporting period are summarized in **Appendix J**. The summary of observations and recommendations made for landscape and visual mitigation measures during the site inspections are presented in **Table 4.1**.
- 3.4.2 The Event and Action Plan for landscape and visual during construction phase is given in **Appendix I**.



4 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

- 4.1.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. A summary of the mitigation measures implementation status is provided in **Appendix J**.
- 4.1.2 In the reporting month, four site inspections were carried out on 3, 10, 17 and 24 (with IEC) November 2020. Details of observations recorded during the site inspections are presented in **Table 4.1**.

Environmental Aspect	Date	Observations and Recommendations	Follow-up Actions
Air Quality	Nil	Nil	Nil
Noise	Nil	Nil	Nil
Water Quality	Nil	Nil	Nil
Waste	24 November	-All general refuse should be stored in	The item was rectified by the
Management	2020	enclosed bins or compaction units. -All chemical materials should be stored properly in the storage area.	Contractor on 1 December 2020.
Landscape and Visual	Nil	Nil	Nil
Permit/ Licenses	Nil	Nil	Nil

 Table 4.1
 Observations and Recommendation of Site Inspection in the Reporting Period



5 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

5.1 Complaint Handling, Prosecution and Public Engagement

- 5.1.1 No environmental related prosecutions or notification of summons were received in the reporting period.
- 5.1.2 Four (4) noise-related complaints were received in the last reporting month (i.e. 4, 12 and 14 October 2020). The investigation reports for the complaints were finalized on 24 November, 8 and 11 December 2020 respectively.
- 5.1.3 One (1) landscape and visual-related complaint was received in the reporting month. The complaint cases in October and November 2020 are summarized in **Table 5.1**.

Table 5.1 Environmental Complaints Log				
Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Nature of Complaint	Status
EC032_CKRCT2 0201004_108	4 th October 2020	Contract Complaint Hotline	Construction Noise	Investigation report was finalized on 24 November 2020.
EC034_CKRCT2 0201012_115	12 th October 2020	Contract Complaint Hotline	Construction Noise	Investigation report was finalized on 8 December 2020.
EC035_CKRCT2 0201012_116	12 th October 2020	1823	Construction Noise	Investigation report was finalized on 11 December 2020.
EC036_CKRCT2 0201014_118	14 th October 2020	1823 & Email	Construction Noise	Investigation report was finalized on 8 December 2020.
EC037_CKRCT2 0201102_125	2 nd November 2020	Contract Complaint Hotline	Land and Visual	Investigation report was finalized on 8 December 2020.



- 5.1.4 Cumulative complaint log, summaries of complaints, notification of summons and successful prosecutions are presented in **Appendix K**.
- 5.1.5 No public engagement activities were conducted in the reporting period.

5.2 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

5.2.1 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting period

5.3 Summary of Monitoring Exceedance

- 5.3.1 One (1) Action Level exceedance was recorded for construction noise due to the noise-related complaint received in the reporting month. No Limit Level exceedance was recorded for construction noise monitoring in the reporting month.
- 5.3.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for construction dust monitoring in the reporting month.



6 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURE

6.1.1 The Contractor had implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the EP and EM&A Manual. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures during the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix J**. Status of required submissions under the EP during the reporting period is summarised in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Status of Required Submission under Environmental Permit		
EP Condition	Submission	Submission Date
3.4	Monthly EM&A Report (October 2020)	13 November 2020



7 FUTURE KEY ISSUES

7.1.1 The main works will be anticipated in the next reporting period are as follow:

<u>Ho Man Tin</u>

- Drill and Blast excavation;
- Mucking out from tunnel;
- Shaft Installation;
- Erection of site office.

<u>Yau Ma Tei</u>

- Drill and break for pilot tunnel;
- Mucking out from tunnel;
- Site installation.

<u>Ma Tau Kok</u>

- Drill and break Excavation;
- Reinforcement for the access shaft;
- Site installation.
- 7.1.2 The recommended mitigation measures corresponding to the main works in the next reporting period are listed as follow:
 - Good relationship shall be maintained with the nearby sensitive receivers/ stakeholders which may be affected by the construction works such as providing better/ more detail information of the work nature and inform in advance of the works to the nearby residents;
 - Any excavated, stockpile of dusty material or load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting;
 - All construction plants / machineries should be checked / serviced on a regular basis during the courses of construction to minimize the emission of noise generation and eliminate dark smoke emission;
 - All C&D materials generated should be transported and stored at temporary storage area. Suitable materials should be sorted for reuse on-site;
 - Every vehicle shall be cleaned thorough at the designated wheel washing area onsite;
 - Wastewater generated from drilling shall be properly collected for reuse or treated by wastewater treatment facilities before discharge;
 - Provision of drip trays for equipment likely cause spillage of chemical / fuel, and provide routine maintenance;
 - Erecting temporary noise barrier for noisy Powered Mechanical Equipment (PME) and deployment of Quality Powered Mechanical Equipment (QPME) as many as practicable;
 - Conditions in the Environmental Permit and License should be followed;
 - All recommended mitigation measures specified in the approved EIA Report and EM&A Manual shall be implemented.



7.1.3 The environmental monitoring schedule for the next reporting month is provided in **Appendix E**.



8 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 8.1.1 The 15th Monthly EM&A Report for the Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route Central Tunnel summarized the monitoring results and audits findings of the EM&A programme under the issued EP (EP No.: EP-457/2013/C) and in accordance with the EM&A Manual during the reporting period from 01/11/2020 to 30/11/2020.
- 8.1.2 Construction dust (including 1-hour and 24-hour TSP) and noise monitoring were carried out in the reporting period.
- 8.1.3 One (1) Action Level exceedance was recorded for construction noise due to the noise-related complaint received in the reporting month. No Limit Level exceedance was recorded for construction noise monitoring in the reporting month. No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for construction dust monitoring in the reporting month.
- 8.1.4 Weekly environmental site inspections were conducted during the reporting period. In general, the Contractor had implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA Report, the EP and EM&A Manual.
- 8.1.5 Four (4) noise-related complaints were received in the last reporting month (i.e. 4, 12, and 14 October 2020). The investigation reports for the complaints were finalized on 24 November, 8 and 11 December 2020 respectively.
- 8.1.6 One (1) landscape and visual and noise-related complaint was received respectively on 2 November 2020 via the complaint hotline of the contractor. The investigation report was finalized on 8 December 2020.
- 8.1.7 No environmental related prosecutions or notification of summons were received in the reporting period.
- 8.1.8 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting period.
- 8.1.9 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided:

Air Quality Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Construction Noise Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Water Quality Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.



Waste Management

- All general refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units.
- All chemical materials should be stored properly in the storage area.

Landscape and Visual Impact

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Permit/ Licenses

• No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.



Figure 1

The Site Layout Plan of the

TUGRO

Contract




Figure 1 - Site Layout Plan of the Contract


Figure 2.1

The Location of the Construction

Dust and Noise Monitoring

Stations





	Legend Construction Dust Monitoring Station CKR Alignment CKR Works Limit S00 m Study Boundary in the EIA Report Construction Noise Monitoring Station
	E FIFTH ISSUE GL 01/13 D FOURTH ISSUE GL 12/12 C THIRD ISSUE GL 09/12 B SECOND ISSUE GL 01/12 A FIRST ISSUE GL 12/11 Rev Description By Date Consultant Monte MacDonald Project title Agreement No. CE 43/2010 (HY) Central Kowloon Route - Design and Construction Drawing title Location of Construction Drawing title Location stand Noise Monitoring Stations (Central Portion)
0 50 100 150 200 250 I I I 10000	Figure 2.1 Rev. Drawn Date 01/13 FC Scale 01/13 1:10000 NA3 Status PREL IMINARY COPYRIGHT RESERVED Man Bto 8 HIGHWAYS DEPARTMENT 主要工程管理處 MAJOR WORKS PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICE

Figure 2.2

The Location of the Construction

UGRO

Dust and Noise Monitoring

Stations





Figure 2.3

The Location of the Construction

Dust and Noise Monitoring

Stations





		Moni CKR CKR 500m in th Const	torir Aligr Works Stud ne EI	s Limi	t indary port Noise			
E	FIFTH IS			GL	01/13			
D C	FOURTH I THIRD IS			GL	12/12 09/12			
В	SECOND I	SSUE		GL	01/12			
A Rev	FIRST IS Descripti	SUE		GL By	12/11 Date			
Proje Ag Ce	Consultant Consultant Project title Agreement No. CE 43/2010 (HY) Central Kowloon Route - Design and Construction							
Lo Du Mo (W	cation st and nitori est Po	n of C l Nois ing St ortion	e ation	s				
Draw GL Scale		Date D1/13 0 ON A3	Checked FC Status	APF ST PREL IMI	E proved NARY			
		路政署	哩處	PARTM				

Appendix A

Construction Programme

TUGRO

#	Activity Name	Orig	Start	Finish																			
		Dur			19		2020				202	21			20	22				202	3		2024
					JASOND	JFMAN	V J J	ASO	ND,	J F M	AMJ	JASO	ND	JFM	AMJ	JA	SON	DJF	MA	MJJ	AS	DNC	JFV
1	HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route	1379	03-Jul-19	26-Feb-24																			
2	Executive Summary	1379	03-Jul-19	26-Feb-24																			••••
3	General	9	03-Jul-19	12-Jul-19	▼ General																		
4	Contract Date	0	03-Jul-19		Contract Date																		
5	Starting Date	0	12-Jul-19		 Starting Date 																		
6	Yau Ma Tei Shaft - Access & Tunnelling	749	18-Apr-20	28-Oct-22		V											- 7 Y	au Ma	Tel Sl	naft - A	ccess	& Tunn	elling
7	Ho Man Tin Shaft - Access & Tunnelling	1046	28-Oct-19	12-May-23	V		1 1 1													▼ Họ I	Man Tir	ı Shaft	- Acce
8	Ma Tau Kok Access - Access & Tunnelling	693	31-Jan-21	08-Jun-23						Y										- M	a Tau I	(ok Ac	cess - /
9	Ho Man Tin Vent Shaft - Civil works	1044	30-Oct-19	12-May-23			1 1 1													▼ Họ I	Man Tir	I Vent	Shaft -
10	Yau Ma Tei Shaft - Civil works	258	16-Apr-23	26-Feb-24															V				<u> </u>
11	Ma Tau Kok Shaft - Civil works	236	13-May-23	26-Feb-24																V			

Page 1 of 1	Summary			Date	Revision	Checked	Approved
C		HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route Central Tunnel		16-Jul-19	00V0	CLa	WYu
Data Date: 03-Jul-19	 Milestone 		DOUINOUITS	20-Aug-19	00V1	CLa	WYu
Project ID: CKRCT00V1-3		Executive Summary	BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS				



Contract No: HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route - Central Tunnel

Major Construction Activities (Nov 2020)

Item	Major Construction Activites	Location
1	Drill and Blast excavation	HMT
2	Mucking out from tunnel	HMT
3	Shaft installation	HMT
4	Erection of site office	HMT
5	Drill and blast for pilot tunnel	YMT
6	Mucking out from tunnel	YMT
7	Site installation	YMT
8	Drill and Break Excavation	МТК
9	Reinforcement for the access shaft	МТК
10	Site installation	МТК


Major Construction Activities (Dec 2020)

ltem	Major Construction Activites	Location
1	Drill and Blast excavation	HMT
2	Mucking out from tunnel	HMT
3	Shaft installation	HMT
4	Erection of site office	HMT
5	Drill and blast for pilot tunnel	YMT
6	Mucking out from tunnel	YMT
7	Site installation	YMT
8	Drill and Break Excavation	МТК
9	Reinforcement for the access shaft	МТК
10	Site installation	МТК

Appendix B

Project Organization Chart





Legen	d:
	Line of Reporting
	Line of Communication

Appendix C

Calibration Certificate for Construction Dust Monitoring Equipment





FUGRO TECHNICAL SERVICES LIMITED

Room 723 - 726, 7/F, Block B, Profit Industrial Building, 1-15 Kwai Fung Crescent, Kwai Fong, Hong Kong.

Tel : (852)-24508238 Fax : (852)-24508032 Email : mcl@fugro.com.hk

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : M-A3				of Calibration: 2							
Location : S.K.H Tsoi Kung Po Secondary Sch	lool		Next Cal	ibration Date: 2							
Make: <u>Tisch</u> Aodel: TE-5170 S/N:		4388	1	Technician: F	-elix Fong						
			J								
	CO	NDITIONS									
Sea Level Pressure (hPa): 1	014.9) Co	rrected Press	ure (mm Hg):	761						
Temperature (°C):											
CA			ICF								
		-		-							
Make: <u>Tisch</u> Model: TE-5	n 025A	4 _	Qstd Slope: std Intercept:	ŀ	2.11508						
	ep-20		Expiry Date:	F	-0.02962 11-Sep-21						
S/N: 2154		1									
	CALI	BRATIONS									
Plate H2O (L) H2O (R) H2O Qs	td	1	IC		INEAR						
- () - ()	min)	(chart)	(corrected)		RESSION						
18 6.50 -8.00 14.500	1.817	58.00	58.10	Slope =	69.5119						
13 5.20 -7.60 12.800	1.708	49.00	49.08	Intercept =	-69.1022						
10 4.40 -6.80 11.200	1.599	42.00	42.07	Corr. coeff.=	0.9967						
7 3.50 -6.40 9.900	1.504	34.00	34.06								
5 2.20 -5.80 8.000	1.354	26.00	26.04								
Calculations:	_										
Qstd = 1/m[Sqrt(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b] IC = I[Sqrt(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]			FLOW R	ATE CHART							
		70.00									
Qstd = standard flow rate		/0.00									
IC = corrected chart response I = actual chart response		60.00									
m = calibrator Qstd slope	1	50.00									
b = calibrator Qstd intercept		50.00									
Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm H	onse	40.00			1						
Tstd = 298 deg K	esp										
Pstd = 760 mm Hg	Actual Chart Response	30.00									
For subsequent calculation of sampler flow	LCh.	20.00									
1/m((I)[Sqrt(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)	ctua										
	Ā	10.00									
m = sampler slope b = sampler intercept		0.00									
I = chart response		0.000	0.500	1.000 1.50	0 2.000						
Tav = daily average temperature			Standard Fl	ow Rate (m ³ /min	ı)						
Pav = daily average pressure											

Environmental Certificate of Calibration	BRATION DATE: per 11, 2021
Calibration Certification Information	
Cal. Date: September 11, 2020 Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 Ta: 297 °K	
	m Hg
	пп
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A Calibrator S/N: 2154	
Vol. Init Vol. Final ΔVol. ΔTime ΔP ΔΗ	
Run (m3) (m3) (m3) (min) (mm Hg) (in H2O)	
1 1 2 1 1.4510 3.3 2.00	
2 3 4 1 1.0340 6.4 4.00	
3 5 6 1 0.9260 8.0 5.00	
4 7 8 1 0.8780 8.9 5.50	
5 9 10 1 0.7250 13.0 8.00	
Data Tabulation	
Vstd Qstd $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}$ Qa $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(Ta/Pa\right)}$	
(m3) $(x-axis)$ $(y-axis)$ Va $(x-axis)$ $(y-axis)$	
0.9929 0.6843 1.4123 0.9956 0.6862 0.8868	
0.9888 0.9563 1.9973 0.9915 0.9589 1.2541	
0.9867 1.0656 2.2330 0.9894 1.0685 1.4021	
0.9855 1.1225 2.3420 0.9882 1.1255 1.4705	
0.9801 1.3519 2.8246 0.9828 1.3556 1.7735	
m= 2.11508 m= 1.32442	
QSTD b= -0.02962 QA b= -0.01860	
r= 0.99993 r= 0.99993	
Calculations	
Vstd= ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta) Va= ΔVol((Pa-ΔP)/Pa)	
Qstd= Vstd/ΔTime Qa= Va/ΔTime	
For subsequent flow rate calculations:	
$\mathbf{Qstd} = 1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd}\right)\left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta}\right)}\right) - b\right) \qquad \mathbf{Qa} = 1/m\left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H\left(Ta/Pa\right)}\right) - b\right)$	
Standard Conditions	
Tstd: 298.15 °K RECALIBRATION	
Pstd: 760 mm Hg	oor 1009
Key US EPA recommends annual recalibration p ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 t	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 tΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K) Determination of Suspended Particulate M	1
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) the Atmosphere, 9,2,17, page 30	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

sch Environmental, Inc.

45 South Miami Avenue

illage of Cleves, OH 45002

www.tisch-env.com TOLL FREE: (877)263-7610 FAX: (513)467-9009



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report no.: 940891CA196546(2)

Page 1 of 1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF DUST METER

Client : Fugro Technical Services Limited

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description	: Laser dust monitor
Manufacturer	: SIBATA
Model No.	: LD-5R
Serial No.	: 882149
Specification Limit	: NA
Next Calibration Date	: 05-Dec-2020

Laboratory Information

Description	:	Reference balance						
Equipment ID.	;	R-039-12						
Date of Calibration	:	06-Dec-2019	Ambient Temperature : 22 °C					
Calibration Location	:	Calibration Laboratory of FTS	3					
Method Used	÷	By direct comparison the we	By direct comparison the weight of dust particle trapped in a filter paper using high					
		volume sampler (TSP method) for a certain period, with the reading of the UUT. They						
		should be placed at the same	e location and powered on and off at the same time.					

Calibration Results :

Reference concentration (mg/m ³)	Total count for 1 hour	CPM (Count per minute)		
0.0393	1511	25.18		
0.0681	1799	29.98		
0.0504	1590	26.50		

Remarks:

1. The equipment being used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.

- 2. The interpolation equation : Concentration $(mg/m^3) = K \times [UUT reading (CPM)]$, where K = 0.001932
- 3. Correlation coefficient (r): 0.9927

_ Date : 17-17-2019 Certified by : KTV01119 Date : 18-12-2019 Checked by : Leung Kwok Tai (Assistant Manager) CA-R-297 (22/07/2009)

** End of Report **

The copyright of this report is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. This report shall not be reproduced except in full.

T +852 2450 8233 | F +852 2450 6138 | E matlab@fugro.com | W fugro.com

Appendix D

Calibration Certificate for Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment





Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong Page 1 of 1

Report no.: 203258CA201566(1)

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND LEVEL METER

Client Supplied Information

Client : Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description	:	Sound Level Meter					
Manufacturer	:	Casella					
		Meter	Microphone	Preamplifier			
Model No.	:	CEL-63X	CE-251	CEL-495			
Serial No.	:	3756127	04228	004030			
Equipment ID	:	N/A					
Next Calibration Due I	Next Calibration Due Date : 12-Aug-2021						
Specification Limit : EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1							

Laboratory Information

Details of Reference Equipment -

Description :	B & K Acoustic Multifunction Calib	rator 4226 (Traditional free field setting)
Equipment ID.	R-108-1	
Date of Calibration :	13-Aug-2020	
Calibration Location :	Calibration Laboratory of FTS	Ambient Temperature : 20±2 °C
Method Used :	By direct comparison	

Calibration Results :

Parameters		Mean Value (dB)	Specification Limit(d		Limit(dB)
	4000Hz	1.2	2.6	to	-0.6
	2000Hz	1.3	2.8	to	-0.4
	1000Hz	0.0	1.1	to	-1.1
A-weigthing	500Hz	-3.4	-1.8	to	-4.6
frequency response	250Hz	-8.7	-7.2	to	-10.0
reeponee	125Hz	-16.1	-14.6	to	-17.6
	63Hz	-26.1	-24.7	to	-27.7
	31.5Hz	-38.8	-37.4	to	-41.4
Differential level linearity	94dB-104dB	0.0		± 0.6	6
	104dB-114dB	0.0		± 0.6	3

Remarks :

- 1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
- 3. For calibration: Reference SPL are 94, 104 & 114dB, range setting is 20-140dB & time weighting is fast
- 4. The UUT does comply with EN 61672-1: 2003 Class 1 sound level meter for the above measurement.
- 5 The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values at the time of the test and any uncertainties will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during tranportation, overloading, mis-handling or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

Checked by :	William	_ Date :	18-8-2020				20-8-2020
CA-R-297 (22/07/20	09)			Leung	Kwok Tai (Assistar	nt Manage	r)
			** E	nd of Report **	r		

The copyright of this report is owned by Fugro Technical Services Limited. This report shall not be reproduced except in full.



Fugro Development Centre 5 Lok Yi Street, Tai Lam Tuen Mun, NT Hong Kong

Report no.: 203258CA201871(1)

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF SOUND CALIBRATOR

Page 1 of 1

Client : Fugro Technical Services Ltd.

Project : Calibration Services

Client Supplied Information

Details of Unit Under Test, UUT

Description		: Sound Calibrator
Manufacturer		: Casella (Model CEL-120/1)
Serial No.		: 5230736
Equipment ID		: N-18
Next Calibration Date	:	07-Sep-2021
Specification Limit	:	EN 60942: 2003 Class 1

Laboratory Information

Details of Calibration Equipment

Description	:	Reference Sound level meter	
Equipment ID.	:	R-119-1	
Calibration Date		08-Sep-2020	
Calibration Location	n :	Calibration Laboratory of FTS	Am
Method Used	:	By direct comparison	Rela

Ambient Temperature	: 20±2 °C
Relative Humidity	:: <80% R.H.

Calibration Results :

Parameters (Setting of UUT)	Mean Value (error of measurement)	Specification Limit(dB)	
94dB	0.1 dB	±0.4dB	
114dB	0.2 dB	±0.40B	

Remarks :

- 1. The equipment used in this calibration is traceable to recognized National Standards.
- 2. The mean value is the average of four measurements.
- 3. The unit under test complies with the specification limit.
- 4. The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the unit-under-test and the values measured at the time of the test. Any uncertainties quoted will not include allowances for the environmental changes, variation and shock during transportation, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement.

Checked by :	Lilliam	Date :	_ Certified by :_	K J. Joung	Date : 12-9-2020
CA-R-297 (22/07/2009))		Leung	, Kwok Tai (Assista	ant Manager)

** End of Report **

Appendix E

Environmental Monitoring

UGRO

Schedule

Project: Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route – Central Tunnel

Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat
1	2	3 Dust Monitoring Noise Monitoring (08:30-12:30)	4	5	6	7
8	9 Dust Monitoring Noise Monitoring (08:30-12:30)	10	11	12	13	14 Dust Monitoring (08:30-12:30)
15	16	17	18	19	20 Dust Monitoring Noise Monitoring (13:00-17:30)	21
22	23	24	25	26 Dust Monitoring Noise Monitoring (13:00-17:30)	27	28
29	30					

Impact Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period (November 2020)

Remarks

1. Actual monitoring may be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition;

2. Dust Monitoring: 24-hours TSP Monitoring per 6 days, and 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days;

3. Noise Monitoring: Leq (30 min) between 0700 and 1900 hours;

4. Monitoring Location: M-A3 and M-N3: SKH Tsoi Kung Po Secondary School.



Project: Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route – Central Tunnel

Wed Tue Thur Fri Sat Sun Mon 1 2 3 4 5 Dust Monitoring Noise Monitoring (08:30-12:30) 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Dust Monitoring Noise Monitoring (08:30-12:30) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Dust Monitoring Dust Monitoring Noise Monitoring (13:00-17:30) (08:30-12:30) 20 22 23 24 25 26 21 **Dust Monitoring** Noise Monitoring (13:00-17:30) 30 27 28 29 31 **Dust Monitoring** Noise Monitoring (13:00-17:30)

TUGRO

Impact Monitoring Schedule for the next Reporting Period (December 2020)

Remarks

1. Actual monitoring may be subjected to change due to any safety concern or adverse weather condition;

2. Dust Monitoring: 24-hours TSP Monitoring per 6 days, and 3 x 1-hour TSP Monitoring per 6 days;

3. Noise Monitoring: Leq (30 min) between 0700 and 1900 hours;

4. Monitoring Location: M-A3 and M-N3: SKH Tsoi Kung Po Secondary School.

Appendix F

Action and Limit Levels for Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring



Table F-1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Station ID	Location	Action Level	Limit Level
M-A3	SKH Tsoi Kung Po Secondary School	333 µg/m³	500 µg/m³

Table F-2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Station ID	Location	Action Level	Limit Level
M-A3	SKH Tsoi Kung Po Secondary School	153 µg/m³	260 µg/m³

Table F-3 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise (0700 – 1900 hour of normal weekdays)

Station ID	Location	Action Level	Limit Level
M-N3	SKH Tsoi Kung Po Secondary School	When one documented compliant is received	For Schools: 70dB(A) during normal teaching period and 65 dB(A) during examination periods

Appendix G

Construction Dust Monitoring Results and Meteorological Observations



24-hour TSP Monitoring Result for Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route – Central Tunnel


W Start Date	Weather	Weather Air Temperature		Filter Weight (g) Particulate		Flow Rate (m ³ /min.)		Average flow	Total volume	Conc.	Action Level	Limit Leve		
etan Pate	Condition		Pa (mmHg)	Initial	Final	weight (g)	Time (hrs)	Initial	Final	(m ³ /min)	(m ³)	(ug/m³)	(ug/m ³)	(ug/m ³)
3-Nov-20	Fine	296.6	762.8	2.6963	2.7434	0.0471	24	1.11	1.14	1.12	1618.9	29		
9-Nov-20	Fine	296.7	763.5	2.5839	2.7608	0.1769	24	1.22	1.14	1.18	1695.0	104		
14-Nov-20	Fine	296.3	763.2	2.6878	2.7982	0.1104	24	1.32	1.21	1.27	1821.8	61	153	260
20-Nov-20	Fine	298.9	759.5	2.7160	2.8147	0.0987	24	1.14	1.42	1.28	1839.5	54		
26-Nov-20	Fine	297	764.5	2.6854	2.8190	0.1336	24	1.32	1.28	1.30	1871.8	71		
											Min	29		
											Max	104		
											Average	64		

M-A3 - SKH Tsoi Kung Po Secondary School

Note:

Underline: Exceedance of Action Level Underline and Bold: Exceedance of Limit Level


Underline and Bold. Exceedance of Limit Level



1-hour TSP Monitoring Result for Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route – Central Tunnel

1-hour TSP (μg/m³)									
						Action	Limit		
Date	Start Time	1st hr	2nd hr	3rd hr	Average	Level	Level	Weather	
3-Nov-20	09:15	56	60	54	57			Fine	
9-Nov-20	09:48	52	42	48	47			Fine	
14-Nov-20	08:45	60	58	62	60	333	500	Fine	
20-Nov-20	13:42	70	62	74	69			Fine	
26-Nov-20	13:00	56	52	58	55			Fine	
	Average		58						
	Max		74						
	Min		42						

M-A3 - SKH Tsoi Kung Po Secondary School








Wind Speed recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 3 November 2020











Wind Speed recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 10 November 2020






Wind Speed recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 15 November 2020






Wind Speed recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 20 November 2020

Wind Speed recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 21 November 2020




Wind Speed recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 26 November 2020





Wind Speed recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 27 November 2020





Wind Direction recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 3 November 2020

Wind Direction recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 4 November 2020



Wind Direction recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 9 November 2020







Wind Direction recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 10 November 2020






Wind Direction recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 15 November 2020







Wind Direction recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 20 November 2020











Wind Direction recorded at King's Park Meteorological Station on 27 November 2020

Appendix H

Construction Noise Monitoring

Results



Noise Impact Monitoring Result for Contract No. HY/2018/08 Central Kowloon Route – Central Tunnel


Date	Start Time	Measured Noise Level Leq 30min dB(A)	Corrected Noise Level* dB(A)	L10 dB(A)	L90 dB(A)	Wind Speed (m/s)	Weather
3-Nov-20	09:10	68.7	NA	71.0	63.0	1.9	Fine
9-Nov-20	09:00	67.3	NA	70.5	60.5	2.8	Fine
20-Nov-20	13:18	68.3	NA	72.0	65.5	2.5	Fine
26-Nov-20	13:32	69.3	NA	72.5	62.0	2.2	Fine
	Max	69.3	NA				
	Min	67.3	NA				
	Limit Level	70.0	65.0				

M-N3 - SKH Tsoi Kung Po Secondary School

Note:

Free field noise levels were adjusted with a correlation of +3 dB(A).

* Corrected Noise Level = 10* log(10^(Measured Noise Level/10) - 10^(Baseline Noise Level/10)); NA refers to Not Applied as the Measured Noise Level < Limit Level.



Appendix I

Event and Action Plan



EVENT	Action						
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor			
Action Level							
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform IEC and ER; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method. 	1. Notify Contractor.	 Rectify any unacceptable practice; Amend working methods if appropriate. 			
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Identify source; Inform IEC and ER; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	 Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Amend proposal if appropriate. 			

Table I-1 Event and Action Plan for Construction Dust Monitoring

EVENT	Action							
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor				
Limit Level								
Exceedance for one sample	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; Repeat measurement to confirm finding; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 	 Check monitoring data submitted by ET; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposal Amend proposal if appropriate. 				
Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	 Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; Identify source; Repeat measurement to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency to daily; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. 				

Table I-1 Event and Action Plan for Construction Dust Monitoring (Continued)

EVENT	Action							
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor				
Exceedance of Action Level	 Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; Notify IEC and Contractor; Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. 	 Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. 	 Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC; Implement noise mitigation proposals. 				
Exceedance of Limit Level	 Identify source; Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; Repeat measurements to confirm findings; Increase monitoring frequency; Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	 Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	 Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; Notify Contractor; Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	 Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; Implement the agreed proposals; Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. 				

Table I-2 Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise Monitoring

EVENT	Action							
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor				
Non- conformity on one occasion	 Identify source(s); Inform the Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss remedial actions and preventive measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Monitor remedial action(s) and preventive measures until rectification has been completed. 	 Check inspection report; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measure(s) and preventive measure(s); Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measure(s) and preventive measure(s); Check implementation of proposed remedial measure(s) and preventive measure(s). 	 Confirm receipt of notification of non-conformity in writing; Notify the Contractor; Review and agree on the remedial measure(s) and preventive measures proposed by the Contractor; Check implementation of remedial measure(s) and preventive measures. 	 Identify source and investigate the non-conformity; Implement remedial measure(s) and preventive measure(s); Amend working methods agreed with ER as appropriate; Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement. 				
Repeat Non- conformity	 Identify source(s); Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; Discuss inspection frequency; Discuss remedial action(s) and preventive measures with IEC, ER and Contractor; Monitor remedial action(s) and preventive measure(s) until rectification has been completed; If non-conformity stops, cease any additional monitoring. 	 Check inspection report; Check Contractor's working method; Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measure(s) and preventive measure(s) Advise ER on effectiveness of proposed remedial measure(s) and preventive measures; Supervise implementation of proposed remedial measure(s) and preventive measure(s) and 	 Notify the Contractor; In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measure(s) and preventive; measure(s) to be implemented; Supervise implementation of remedial measure(s) and preventive measure(s). 	 Identify source and investigate the non-conformity; Implement remedial measure(s) and preventive measure(s); Amend working methods agreed with ER as appropriate; Rectify damage and undertake any necessary replacement. Stop relevant portion of works as determined by ER until the non- conformity is abated. 				

Table I-3 Event and Action Plan for Landscape and Visual during construction phase

Appendix J

Implementation Status of

Environment Mitigation

Measures (Construction Phase)



EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		Air Quality	
S4.3.10	D1	 The contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation 	Implemented
S4.3.10	D2	 Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul road should be conducted to achieve dust removal efficiencies of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.3 L/m² to achieve the dust removal efficiency. 	Implemented
		- Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading;	Implemented
		 Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wetted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; 	Implemented
		 A stockpile of dusty material should not be extend beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones; 	Implemented
		 The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; 	Implemented
S4.3.10	D3	 Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road section between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; 	Implemented
		 When there are open excavation and reinstatement works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided and properly maintained as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing; 	Implemented
		 The portion of any road leading only to construction site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; 	Implemented
		 Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously; 	Implemented

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		 Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet 	Implemented
		 Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding; 	N.O.
		- Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting;	Implemented
		 Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; 	Implemented
S4.3.10	D3	 Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fitted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed; 	N.O.
		 Loading, unloading, transfer, handling or storage of bulk cement or dry PFA should be carried out in a totally enclosed system or facility, and any vent or exhaust should be fitted with an effective fabric filter or equivalent air pollution control system; and 	N.O.
		 Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, shotcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies. 	N.O.
		Noise (Airborne)	
		 Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme; 	Implemented
		 Machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; 	Implemented
S5.4.1	N1	 Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; 	Implemented
		 Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be properly fitted and maintained during the construction works; 	N.O.
		- Mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable;	Implemented

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		 Material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. 	N.O.
S5.4.1	N2	 Install temporary hoarding located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the construction period. 	Implemented
S5.4.1	N3	 Install movable noise barriers (typical design is wooden framed barrier with a small-cantilevered on a skid footing with 25mm thick internal sound absorptive lining), acoustic mat or full enclosure, screen the noisy plants including air compressors, generators and handheld breakers etc. 	Implemented
S5.4.1	N4	- Use "Quiet plants".	Implemented
S5.4.1	N5	- Loading/unloading activities should be carried out inside the full enclosure of mucking out points.	N.O.
S5.4.1	N6	- Sequencing operation of construction plants where practicable.	Implemented
		Water Quality	
		 <u>Construction Runoff</u> At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities; 	Implemented
S6.9.1.1	W1	 The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a site/sediment trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates; 	Implemented
		 The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94, which states that the retention time for silt/sand traps should be 5 minutes under maximum flow conditions. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate, but for a flow rate of 0.1 m³/s a sedimentation basin of 30m³ would be required and for a flow rate of 0.5 m³/s the basin would be 150 m³; 	Implemented

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		 All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed, or alternatively, within 14 days of the cessation of earthworks where practicable. Exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means; 	N.O.
		- The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce the erosive potential of surface water flows, and all traffic areas and access roads protected by coarse stone ballast;	N.O.
		 All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas; 	Implemented
		 Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities; 	Implemented
		 Open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) of more than 50m3 should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system; 	Implemented
		 Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers; 	Implemented
		 Precautions be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, actions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarised in Appendix A2 of ProPECC PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes. 	Implemented
S6.9.1.1	W1	 All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains; 	Implemented

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		 Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain; 	N.O.
		 Construction solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts; 	Implemented
		 All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby; 	Implemented
		- All the earth works involving should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable.	Implemented
		 <u>Tunnelling Works and Underground Works</u> Cut-&-cover tunnelling work should be conducted sequentially to limit the amount of construction runoff generated from exposed areas during the wet season (April to September) as far as practicable; 	N.O.
S6.9.1.2	W2	- Uncontaminated discharge should pass through sedimentation tanks prior to off-site discharge;	Implemented
		- The wastewater with a high concentration of SS should be treated (e.g. by sedimentation tanks with sufficient retention time) before discharge. Oil interceptors would also be required to remove the oil, lubricants and grease from the wastewater;	Implemented
S6.9.1.2	W2	 Direct discharge of the bentonite slurry (as a result of D-wall and bored tunnelling construction) is not allowed. It should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary storage locations (typically a properly closed warehouse) should be provided on site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries. 	Implemented
S6.9.1.3	W3	 <u>Sewage Effluent</u> Portable chemical toilets and sewage holding tanks are recommended for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance. 	Implemented
S6.9.1.5	W4	<u>Groundwater from Potential Contaminated Area</u> - No direct discharge of groundwater from contaminated areas should be adopted;	N.O.

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		- A discharge license under the WPCO through the Regional Office of EPD for groundwater discharge should be applied. Prior to the excavation works within these potentially contaminated areas, the groundwater quality should be reviewed during the process of discharge license application. The compliance to the Technical Memorandum on Standards for Effluents Discharged into Drainage on Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS) and the existence of prohibited substance should be confirmed. If the review results indicated that the groundwater to be generated from the excavation works would be contaminated, the contaminated groundwater should be either properly treated in compliance with the requirements of the TM-DSS or properly recharged into the ground;	N.O.
		 If wastewater treatment is deployed, the wastewater treatment unit shall deploy suitable treatment process (e.g. oil interceptor / activated carbon) to reduce the pollution level to an acceptable standard and remove any prohibited substances (e.g. TPH) to undetectable range. All treated effluent from wastewater treatment plant shall meet the requirements as stated in TM-DSS and should be discharged into the foul sewers; 	N.O.
		 If groundwater recharging wells are deployed, recharging wells should be installed as appropriate for recharging the contaminated groundwater back into the ground. The recharging wells should be selected at places where the groundwater quality will not be affected by the recharge operation as indicated in the Section 2.3 of TM-DSS. 	N.O.
		 <u>Accidental Spillage</u> All the tanks, containers, storage area should be bunded and the locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and stormwater drains; 	Implemented
S6.9.1.6	W6	 The Contractor should register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction activities should be stored with suitable labels and warnings. Disposal of chemical wastes should be conducted in compliance with the requirements as stated in the Waste disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. 	Implemented
		Waste Management	
S7.4.1	WM1	 <u>On-site sorting of C&D materials</u> Geological assessment should be carried out by competent persons on site during excavation to identify materials which are not suitable to use as aggregate in structural concrete (e.g. volcanic rock, Aplite dyke rock, etc). Volcanic rock and Aplite dyke rock should be separated at the source sites as far as practicable and stored at designated stockpile areas preventing them from delivering to crushing facilities. The 	N.O.

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		crushing plant operator should also be reminded to set up measures to prevent unsuitable rock from ended up at concrete batching plants and be turned into concrete for structural use. Details regarding control measures at source site and crushing facilities should be submitted by the Contractors for the Engineer to review and agree. In addition, site records should also be kept for the types of rock materials excavated and the traceability of delivery will be ensured with the implementation of Trip Ticket System and enforced by site supervisory staff as stipulated under DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 for tracking of the correct delivery to the rock crushing facilities for processing into aggregates. Alternative disposal option for the reuse of volcanic rock and Aplite Dyke rock, etc should also be explored.	
		<u>Construction and Demolition Materials</u> - Maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling and reinstatement;	Implemented
	WM2	- Carry out on-site sorting;	Implemented
		- Make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate;	Implemented
\$7.5.1		 Adopt 'Selective Demolition' technique to demolish the existing structures and facilities with a view to recovering broken concrete effectively for recycling purpose, where possible; 	N.O.
		 Implement a trip-ticket system for each works contract to ensure that the disposal of C&D materials are properly documented and verified; and 	Implemented
		 Implement an enhanced Waste Management Plan similar to ETWBTC (Works) No. 19/2005 – "Environmental Management on Construction Sites" to encourage on-site sorting of C&D materials and to minimize their generation during the course of construction. 	Implemented
S7.5.1	WM3	 <u>C&D Waste</u> Standard formwork or pre-fabrication should be used as far as practicable in order to minimise the arising of C&D materials. The use of more durable formwork or plastic facing for the construction works should be considered. Use of wooden hoardings should not be used, as in other projects. Metal hoarding should be used to enhance the possibility of recycling. The purchasing of construction materials will be carefully planned in order to avoid over ordering and wastage; 	Implemented

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		 The Contractor should recycle as much of the C&D materials as possible on-site. Public fill and C&D waste should be segregated and stored in different containers or skips to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal. Where practicable, concrete and masonry can be crushed and used as fill. Steel reinforcement bar can be used by scrap steel mills. Different areas of the sites should be considered for such segregation and storage. 	Implemented
		 <u>Land-based Sediment</u> All construction plant and equipment shall be designed and maintained to minimize the risk of silt, sediments, contaminants or other pollutants being released into the water column or deposited in the locations other than designated location; 	Implemented
S7.5.1	WM5	- Requirement in the ETWB TCW No. 34/2002 shall be followed;	N.O.
57.5.1		 For Type 3 special disposal treatment, sealing of contaminant with geosynthetic containment before dropping into designated mud pit would be a possible arrangement. A geosynthetic containment method is a method whereby the sediments are sealed in geosynthetic containers and, the containers would be dropped into the designated contaminated mud pit where they would be covered by further mud disposal and later by the mud pit capping at the disposal site, thereby fulfilling the requirements for fully confined mud disposal. 	N.A.
S7.5.1	WM6	 <u>Chemical Waste</u> Chemical waste that is produced, as defined by Schedule 1 of the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation, should be handled in accordance with the Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes; 	Implemented
57.5.1		 Containers used for the storage of chemical wastes should be suitable for the substance they are holding, resistant to corrosion, maintained in a good condition, and securely closed; have a capacity of less than 450 liters unless the specification has been approved by the EPD; and display a label in English and Chinese in accordance with instructions prescribed in Schedule 2 of the regulation; 	Implemented
S7.5.1	WM6	 The storage area for chemical wastes should be clearly labelled and used solely for the storage of chemical waste; enclosed on at least 3 sides; have an impermeable floor and bunding of sufficient capacity to accommodate 110% of the volume of the largest container or 20 % of the total volume of waste stored in that area, whichever is the greatest; have adequate ventilation; covered to prevent rainfall entering; and arranged so that incompatible materials are adequately separated; Disposal of chemical waste should be via a licensed waste collector; be to a facility licensed to receive 	Partially Implemented

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		 chemical waste, such as the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre which also offers a chemical waste collection service and can supply the necessary storage containers; or be to a reuser of the waste, under approval from the EPD. 	
		<u>General Refuse</u>	Partially
		 General refuse generated on-site should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separately from construction and chemical wastes; 	Implemented
\$7.5.1	WM6	- A reputable waste collector should be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site, separately from construction and chemical wastes, on a daily basis to minimize odour, pest and litter impacts. Burning of refuse on construction sites is prohibited by law;	Implemented
		- Aluminium cans are often recovered from the waste stream by individual collectors if they are segregated and made easily accessible. Separate labelled bins for their deposit should be provided if feasible;	Implemented
		- Office wastes can be reduced through the recycling of paper if volumes are large enough to warrant collection. Participation in a local collection scheme should be considered by the Contractor.	N.O.
		Land Contamination	
S8.10, S8.12 & Appendix 8.4	LC1	 <u>Remaining SI Works</u> The potential for land contamination issues at EBH1 and EBH2 will be confirmed by site investigation after site possession and utility diversion by the construction contractor. Following the completion of the remaining SI works, the Project Proponent would prepare and submit a Second Supplementary CAR/RAP to EPD to present the findings of the SI works and to recommend specific remediation measures, if required. Upon completion of the remediation works, if any, a Remediation Report (RR) would be prepared and submitted to EPD for agreement prior to commencement of the construction works. 	N.O.
		Hazard to Life	
S9.18	H1	- Blasting activities regarding transport and use of explosives should be supervised and audited by competent site staff to ensure full compliance with the blasting permit conditions.	N.O.
S9.6, para 4	H2	- Detonators shall not be transported in the same vehicle with other Category 1 Dangerous Goods.	N.O.
S9.6, para 8	H3	 The explosives delivery trucks should be approved by Mines Division and should meet the regulatory requirements for transport of explosives. 	N.O.

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
S9.10, para 7 and S9.18	H4	 Blast doors should be provided for tunnels and blast cover should be provided for shaft at HMT, and kept closed during blasting. Provision of blast doors or heavy duty blast curtains should be implemented at the shafts, adits and other suitable locations to prevent flyrock and control the air overpressure. 	N.O.
S9.18	H5	- Only the required quantity of explosives for a particular blast should be transported to avoid the return.	N.O.
S9.18	H6	- Maximum instantaneous charge (MIC) should be within the MIC as specified for the given section.	N.O.
S9.18	H7	 The approved truck dedicated for transport of explosives should comply with the "Guidance Note on Requirements for Approval of an Explosives Delivery Vehicle" issued by CEDD Mines Division. The truck should be periodically inspected and properly maintained in good operation conditions. The fuel carried in the fuel tank should be minimized to reduce the duration of fire. Adequate fire-fighting equipment shall be provided, inspected and replaced periodically (e.g. fire extinguishers). 	N.O.
S9.18	H8	 The driver and his assistant should be physically healthy, experienced and have good safe driving records. The driver should hold a proper driving license for the approved transport truck. Dedicated training programme and regular road safety briefing sessions / workshops should be provided to enhance their safe driving attitude and practice. Smoking should be strictly prohibited. 	N.O.
S9.18	Н9	- Emergency response plans in case of road accident should be prepared and implemented. The driver and his assistant should be familiar with the emergency procedures including evacuation, and proper communication / fire-fighting equipment should be provided to the driver and his assistant.	Implemented
S9.18	H10	 Close liaison and communication among Mines Division, contractors for transport of explosives, and working staff of the tunnel blasting should be established. In case of any change of work schedule leading to cancellation or variation of explosives required, relevant parties should be informed in time to avoid unused explosives at the work sites. 	Implemented
S9.18	H11	 Close liaison and communication with Fire Services Department should be established to reduce the accidental detonation escalated from a fire. The contractors for transport of explosives should use the preferred transport routes as far as practicable. 	Implemented
S9.18	H12	 Contingency plan should be prepared for transport of explosives under severe weather conditions such as rainstorms and thunderstorms. 	Implemented
S9.18	H13	 For explosive transport, all packages of explosives on the truck should be properly stored in the truck compartment as required. Packaging of the explosives should remain intact (i.e. damage free) until they are transferred to the blasting site. 	N.O.

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
S9.18	H14	 Availability of a parking space should be ensured before commencement of transport of explosives. Location for loading and unloading of explosives should be as close as possible to the shaft or the adit. No hot work should be performed in the vicinity during the time of loading and unloading. 	N.O.
S9.18	H15	- Good communication and coordination should be performed for safe blasting of different chainage locations on the same day.	N.O.
S9.18	H16	- Evacuation and secure refugee areas should be implemented / provided to the working staff.	N.O.
S9.18	H17	- Healthy competent licensed shotfirers and blasting engineers should be employed to conduct the blasting work.	N.O.
S9.18	H18	 Proper control measures should be enforced during explosive transport within the tunnel and charging the blast holes, such as speed limit for the truck, no hot work in the vicinity, etc. 	N.O.
S9.18	H19	- Ground vibrations of the blasting operation should be monitored and MICs should be adjusted according to the actual geotechnical features to ensure blasting vibrations within the specified PPV limit.	N.O.
S9.18	H20	 For tunnel blasting near gas facilities, requirement of the "Gas Production and Supply Code of Practice - Avoiding Danger from Gas Pipes" should be respected. Close liaison and coordination with HKCG should be established to provide sufficient notice of the planned blasting activities in an appropriate format within a reasonable time period prior to blasting. Emergency response procedures should be prepared and implemented in case of gas leaks. 	N.O.
S9.18	H21	 For tunnel blasting near MTRC railway tunnels, close liaison and coordination with MTRC should be established to provide sufficient notice of the planned blasting activities in an appropriate format within a reasonable time period prior to blasting. Emergency response procedures should be prepared and implemented in case of any damage to the railway facilities. 	
S9.18	H22	 It is recommended to explore to minimize the use of the cartridged emulsion explosives and maximize the use of bulk emulsion explosive as far as practicable. 	N.O.
S9.18	H23	 The use of bulk emulsion where the maximum instant charge (MIC) envisaged for a particular blast is above 0.5kg. This prevents the occurrence of excessive vibrations due to potential bulk emulsion dosing inaccuracy in the case of low MIC. It is recommended to explore the bulk emulsion dosing technology so as to maximize the use of bulk emulsion explosive as far as practicable. 	N.O.
S9.18	H24	 It is recommended to explore to use smaller explosive charges such as 'cast boosters' or 'mini-cast booster' instead of cartridged emulsion as primers for bulk emulsion. This is option reduces the quantity of explosives required for transportation for the sections where bulk emulsion will be used. 	Implemented

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
S9.18	H25	- Instrumentation and monitoring plan should be submitted to all relevant stakeholders for agreement prior to the commencement of the tunnel blasting works. Such plan should be implemented during construction of CKR tunnels.	Implemented
S9.18	H26	- Contingency plan should be submitted to all relevant stakeholders for agreement prior to the commencement of the tunnel blasting works.	Implemented
	I	Landscape and Visual	Γ
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV3	 <u>Good Site Management</u> Large temporary stockpiles of excavated material shall be covered with unobtrusive sheeting to prevent dust and dirt spreading to adjacent landscape areas and vegetation, and to create a neat and tidy visual appearance. Construction plant and building material shall be orderly and carefully stored in order to create a neat and tidy visual appearance. 	N.O.
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV4	 <u>Screen Hoarding</u> Decorative screen hoarding should be erected to screen the public from the construction area. It should be designed to be compatible with the existing urban context. 	N.O.
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV5	 <u>Lighting Control during Construction</u> All lighting in the construction site shall be carefully controlled to minimize light pollution and night-time glare to nearby residencies and GIC. The contractor shall consider other security measures, which shall minimize the visual impacts. 	Implemented
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV6	 <u>Erosion Control</u> The potential for soil erosion shall be reduced by minimizing the extent of vegetation disturbance on site and by providing a protective cover over newly exposed soil. 	N.O.
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV7	 <u>Tree Protection & Preservation</u> Carefully protected during construction. Tree protection measures will be detailed at the Tree Removal Application stage and plans submitted to the relevant Government Department for approval in due course in accordance with ETWB TC no. 3/2006. 	Implemented
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV8	 <u>Tree Transplantation</u> For trees unavoidably affected by the Project that have to be removed, where practical transplantation will be chosen as the top priority method of removal. If this is not possible or practical compensatory planting will be provided for trees unavoidably felled (See LV10). For trees unavoidably affected by the 	N.O.

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		Project works that are transplanted, transplantation must be carried out in accordance with ETWBTC 2/2004 and 3/2006.	
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV9	 <u>Compensatory Planting</u> All felled trees shall be compensated for by planting trees to the satisfaction of relevant Government departments. Required numbers and locations of compensatory trees shall be determined and agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process under ETWBTC 3/2006. Compensatory tree planting may be incorporated into public open spaces and along roadside amenity areas affected by the construction works and therefore be part of the bigger wider planting plans. Onsite compensation planting is preferred but if necessary, additional receptor sites outside the Works Area shall be agreed separately with Government during the Tree Felling Application process. 	N.O.
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV10	 <u>Screen Planting</u> Tall screen/buffer trees, shrubs and climbers should be planted, in so far as is possible, to soften and screen proposed structures such as roads and central strip, vertical edges and buildings and to enhance streetscape greening effect where appropriate. Indiscriminate use of trees for screening must be avoided and the principle of 'right tree for the right place' must be followed. 	N.O.
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV11	 <u>Green Roof</u> Roof greening is recommended be established on ventilation and administration buildings to reduce exposure to untreated concrete surfaces and particularly mitigate visual impact to VSRs at high levels. 	N.O.
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV12	 <u>Reinstatement</u> All works areas, excavated areas and disturbed areas for tunnel construction and temporary road diversion or any other proposed works shall be reinstated to former conditions or better, with reasonable landscape treatment and to the satisfaction of the relevant Government departments. (Specific mitigation for disturbance to public open space is detailed separately under LV14). 	N.O.
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV13	 <u>Reprovising of Public Open Space</u> All areas of public open space affected by the Project will be reprovisioned either at the same location following the completion of temporary works, or at a separate site, as agreed with relevant Government departments. Open space should be re-provisioned in an enhanced manner. 	N.O.
S10.10.1, Table 10.11	LV14	 <u>Landscape enhancement</u> Implement a comprehensive landscape plan to maximize the greening opportunity and create a unique landscape for the project to blend in with the surrounding, including in reprovisioned areas. In particular: 	N.O.

EIA Ref	EM&A Log Ref	Recommended Environmental Protection Measures/ Mitigation Measures	Implementation Status
		 landscape enhancement of re-provisioned Public Transport Interchange; landscape deck on tunnel portals; viaduct planters for trailer planting. 	
		Cultural Heritage	
S11.4.4	CH1	- The contractor should be alerted during the construction on the possibility of locating archaeological remains and as a precautionary measure, AMO shall be informed immediately in case of discovery of antiquities or supposed antiquities in the subject sites.	N.A.
S12.6.1, Table 12.2	CH5	 <u>Tin Hau Temple (CKR-02)</u> The Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit is recommended to be set at 3/4/5 mm/s and a condition survey shall be carried out by the project proponent prior to the construction phase to confirm this assessment; Vibration monitoring of the structure shall be employed during the construction phase to ensure that the level is not exceeded. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment. 	N.A.
S12.6.1, Table 12.2	CH6	 Kowloon Methodist Church (CKR-10) The Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit is recommended to be set at 5/6/7.5 mm/s; Vibration monitoring of the structure shall be employed during the construction phase to ensure that the level is not exceeded, and as such appropriate vibration monitoring on the building should be complied with as appropriate. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment. 	N.A.
S12.6.1, Table 12.2	CH7	 Ma Tau Kok Animal Quarantine Depot (CKR-12) The Alert, Alarm and Action (AAA) vibration limit is recommended to be set at 5/6/7.5 mm/s; Vibration monitoring of the structure shall be employed during the construction phase to ensure that the level is not exceeded, and as such appropriate vibration monitoring on the building should be complied with as appropriate. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment. 	N.A.
S12.6.1, Table 12.2	CH11	 <u>Air raid precaution tunnels of the K1 Network (CKR-14)</u> A condition survey for the tunnel network should be undertaken by the project proponent to determine the present condition of the air raid tunnels and to recommend protective measures to ensure that the tunnels are not damaged by the construction works. and as such appropriate vibration monitoring on the building should be complied with as appropriate. The monitoring proposal should be sent to AMO for comment. 	N.A.

Remarks:

- N.A. Not Applicable at this stage as no such site activities were conducted in the reporting period
- N.O. Not Observed during site inspection in the reporting period.

Appendix K

Cumulative Statistics on Exceedances, Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

Table K-1	Environr	nental Comp	laints Log			
Complaint	Date of	Received	Received	Nature of	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
Log No.	Complaint	From	Ву	Complaint		514145
EC032_CK	4 October	Contract	The	Noise	A resident of Chun Man Court complained about	Investigation
RCT20201	2020	Complaint	Contractor		the ground-borne noise generated from the	reports
004_108		Hotline	&		works of Central Kowloon Route around 01:00-	finalized on
			Engineer		03:00 hours. The complainant reminded the	24 November
					Contractor to beware of the noise level.	2020.
					According to the Contractor, only shotcreting	
					was carried out inside both the Westbound and	
					Eastbound tunnels between 01:00-03:00	
					hours. The Contractor confirmed no drilling	
					work was conducted during the period. Whilst,	
					no construction works were conducted at the	
					ground level. Other Powered Mechanical	
					Equipment (PME), including concrete spraying	
					pump, concrete truck mixer, ventilation fan	
					and water pump, were operated inside the	
					closed shaft enclosure in accordance with the	
					conditions in the valid Construction Noise Permit	
					(CNP No.: GW-RE0533-20), and used only during	
					the restricted hours (19:00 – 07:00 hours).	
					The following mitigation measures and site	
					arrangement were taken to reduce noise	
					nuisance:	
					The Contractor would agree to	

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					 rearrange the site activities at night time to alleviate the disturbance to the resident. The Contractor would agree to use ripper or road header that has lower impact energy to replace hydraulic breaker. The Contractor would agree to use silent breaker or less powerful breaker to minimize the impact energy. 	
					The Contractor was suggested to maintain good relationship with the nearby sensitive receivers/ stakeholders which may be affected by the construction works such as providing better/ more detailed information of the work nature and inform in advance of the noisy works to the nearby residents.	
					The Contractor was suggested to carry out noise monitoring at the sensitive receiver while conducting tunneling works if necessary.	
					The Contractor was reminded to take remedial actions to ensure the adverse noise impact transmitted though the structural elements of a buildings does not continue under the CNP (GW	

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					-RE0533-20) condition 3.d.6.	
EC034_CK RCT20201 012_115	12 October 2020	Contract Complaint Hotline	The Contractor & Engineer	Noise	A resident of Ho Man Tin Estate complained about the noise nuisance generated from the Ho Man Tin site and this situation had continued for a month. The complainant had previously showed his/ her concern on the construction noise at night time. The complainant requested the Contractor to follow up on the case and provide appropriate responses. According to the Site Diary provided by the Contractor, no construction works carried out on the ground level during the restricted hours (19:00 - 07:00 hours). The only Powered Mechanical Equipment (PME) operated inside the closed shaft enclosure was the Rock drill (i.e. Jumbo) in accordance with the conditions in the valid Construction Noise Permit (CNP No.: GW- RE0817-20) and was used within the restricted hours (19:00 - 07:00 hours). The following mitigation measures and site arrangement were taken to reduce noise nuisance: • The Contractor had carried out the	Investigation reports finalized on 8 December 2020.

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					 ground-borne noise monitoring with DC members Mr. Wong Wing-kit and Ms. Mak Sui-ki after the mitigation measures implemented. The monitoring was conducted on 25th November 2020 from 20:50 - 21:10 hours. The monitoring results fulfilled the Day-time and Night-time Construction Ground-borne Noise Criteria (i.e. 55 dB(A) and 40 dB(A) respectively). The monitoring results are provided in Annex C. The Contractor would agree to rearrange the site activities at night time to alleviate the disturbance to the resident. The Contractor would agree to use ripper or road header that has lower impact energy to replace hydraulic breaker. The Contractor would agree to use silent breaker or less powerful breaker to minimize the impact energy. 	
					The Contractor was suggested to maintain good relationship with the nearby sensitive receivers/ stakeholders which may be affected by the construction works such as providing better/ more detailed information of the work nature and inform in advance of the noisy works to the	

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					nearby residents. The Contractor was suggested to carry out noise monitoring at the sensitive receiver while conducting tunneling works if necessary. The Contractor was reminded to take remedial	
					actions to ensure the adverse noise impact transmitted though the structural elements of a buildings does not continue under the CNP (GW-RE0817-20) condition 3.d.6.	
EC035_CK RCT20201 012_116	12 October 2020	1823	The Contractor & Engineer	Noise	A resident of Ultima, complained about the noise nuisance and over-time work from 19:00 to 19:30 hours on the Ho Man Tin site. Moreover, the complainant believed the Contractor was against the Noise Control Ordinance on 10th October 2020. The complainant requested the Contractor to follow up on the case and provide appropriate response. An emergency concreting work of the main haul road was carried out on 10th October 2020 around 19:00 hours. The concerned road was the emergency access for Water Supplies Department (WSD) and the Contractor. The site	Investigation reports finalized on 11 December 2020.

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					manager duly considered that immediate repair	
					works are needed in case of any emergency	
					situations (i.e. fire, injury of people or break	
					down of lifeline pumps etc.) happened in the	
					WSD reservoir, emergency vehicles (fire engine,	
					ambulance, or emergency maintenance vehicles	
					etc.) are required to access to the site to prevent	
					property in danger or to save the life of any	
					person. Unfortunately, the concrete	
					manufacturer failed to deliver the concrete to	
					the site within the specified time (i.e. before	
					18:00 hours), resulting in delays in the process.	
					According to the Site Diary provided by the	
					Contractor, only one Concrete lorry mixer was	
					used for emergency repair from 19:00 -19:30	
					hours. After the repair works completed, no	
					further operation was carried out at the ground	
					level during the restricted hours (19:00 - 07:00)	
					on 10th October 2020. Other Powered	
					Mechanical Equipment (PME), including	
					backhoe, loader, dump truck, were operated	
					inside the closed shaft enclosure in accordance	
					with the conditions in the valid Construction	
					Noise Permit (CNP No.: GW-RE0817-20), and	
					were used within the restricted hours (19:00 -	

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					07:00 hours). The following mitigation measures were taken to	
					 The Contractor will regularly inspect all main access to arrange planned maintenance at time specified within the CNP. 	
					 The Contractor has provided training for the on-site workers. The Contractor was reminded to strictly follow 	
					and fully comply with the CNP (GW-RE0817-20) conditions and the mitigation measures stipulated in the EM&A Manual when construction activities are operating during the restricted hour.	
					The Contractor was reminded to pay attention to CNP other conditions 3.d.1, 3.d.2 imposed on the use of the PME in carrying out construction works.	
					The Contractor was suggested to maintain good relationship with the nearby sensitive receivers/ stakeholders which may be affected by the	

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					construction works such as providing better/ more detailed information of the work nature and inform in advance of the noisy works to the nearby residents.	
EC036_CK RCT20201 014_118	14 October 2020	1823 & Email	The Contractor & Engineer	Noise	A resident of Mantin Heights complained about the ground-borne noise generated from the works of Central Kowloon Route around 23:00- 03:00 hours. This situation had continued for a month and seriously affected the complainant. Meanwhile, the complainant believed the Contractor was against the Noise Control Ordinance and filed formal complaints to the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) and the police department. Mr. Leung of the Management Office (MO) of the Mantin Heights referred two complaint cases of their resident regarding noise nuisance generated from the works of Central Kowloon Route. The residents of Tower 6 and 7 complained about the "hammering" sound which suspected to be generated from Ho Man Tin site around 00:50 hours on 13th October 2020. Moreover, the staff of Management Office (MO) also heard the sound at the 2/F lift lobby	Investigation reports finalized on 8 December 2020.

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					of Tower 10 around 00:55 hours.	
					The MO requested the Contractor to follow up on the case and requested for more details of the construction work (i.e. tunnel location, site location, 24-hr working schedule, debris removal/delivery route.) and the noise mitigation	
					measures that would be undertaken.	
					According to the Site Diary provided by the Contractor, no construction works were conducted at the ground level during the restricted hours (19:00 – 07:00) on 12th and 13th October 2020. Other Powered Mechanical Equipment (PME), including backhoe, loader, hydraulic breaker, dump truck, jumbo were operated inside the closed shaft enclosure in accordance with the conditions in the valid Construction Noise Permit (CNP No.: GW-RE0817-20), and were used within the restricted hours (19:00 – 07:00 hours).	
					The following mitigation measures and site arrangement were taken to reduce noise nuisance:	
					• The Contractor had carried out the	

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					 ground-borne noise monitoring after the mitigation measures implemented. The monitoring was conducted on 11th November 2020 from 21:00-22:20 hours and the results fulfilled the Day-time and Night-time Construction Ground-borne Noise Criteria (i.e. 55 dB(A) and 40 dB(A) respectively). The Contractor would agree to rearrange the site activities at night time to alleviate the disturbance to the resident. The Contractor would agree to use ripper or road header that has lower impact energy to replace hydraulic breaker. The Contractor would agree to use silent breaker or less powerful breaker to minimize the impact energy. 	
					The Contractor was suggested to maintain good relationship with the nearby sensitive receivers/ stakeholders which may be affected by the construction works such as providing better/ more detailed information of the work nature and inform in advance of the noisy works to the nearby residents. The Contractor was suggested to carry out noise	

Complaint Log No.	Date of Complaint	Received From	Received By	Nature of Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
					monitoring at the sensitive receiver while conducting tunneling works if necessary. The Contractor was reminded to take remedial actions to ensure the adverse noise impact transmitted though the structural elements of a buildings does not continue under the CNP (GW-RE0817-20) condition 3.d.6	
EC037_CK RCT20201 102_	2 November 2020	Contract Complaint Hotline	The Contractor & Engineer	Landscape and Visual & Noise	Residents from Cascades complained about the signal noise at 20:30 and serious light pollution generated from spotlights at the Ho Man Tin construction site. The complainant had previously showed his/ her concern on the light pollution. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply from the Contractor and his/ her concern was not addressed. The complainant strongly requested the Contractor to follow up on the case. Based on the information from the Contractor, all spotlights were located inside the enclosed area. The spotlights will be turned off automatically at 21:00 and the acoustic doors will be closed at 19:00. According to the site diary from the Contractor,	Investigation reports finalized on 8 December 2020.

	Ву	Complaint	Investigation/Mitigation Action	Status
			no construction works were conducted at the ground level.	
			ET conducted weekly site inspection on 3rd November 2020 and 10th November 2020, no particular observation and recommendation was made regarding the landscape and visual and noise impact from the inspection team.	
			The Contractor was reminded that all lighting in the construction site shall be carefully controlled. Spotlights shall be switched off if they are not in use.	
			The light source shall not point directly to any visual sensitive receivers and proper shielding should be provided to minimize light pollution and night-time glare to nearby residents.	
			The Contractor was suggested to maintain good relationship with the nearby sensitive receivers/ stakeholders which may be affected by the construction works such as providing better/ more detailed information of the work nature and inform in advance of the noisy works to the nearby residents.	

Table K-2Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notifications of Summons and Successful Prosecutions and PublicEngagement Activities

Reporting Period	Complaints	Notifications of Summons and Prosecutions	Public Engagement Activities
This Month	1	0	0
Cumulative Project-to-Date	37	0	0

Table K-3 Cumulative Statistics on Monitoring Exceedance

Monitoring Daramator	Month/Year	No. of Exceedance			
Monitoring Parameter	wonth/rear	Action	Limit		
1-hour TSP	No. of Exceedance This Month	0	0		
I-NOUT ISP	Cumulative Project-to-Date	0	0		
24-hour TSP	No. of Exceedance This Month	0	0		
24-11001 TSP	Cumulative Project-to-Date	0	0		
Noise	No. of Exceedance This Month	1	0		
(LAeq (30min))	Cumulative Project-to-Date	28	0		

Appendix L

Waste Flow Table



	waste Flow Table (202	0)							
	Actual Quantities of Ine	Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly							
	Total	Reused in	Reused in	Disposed	Metals	Paper/	Plastics	Chemical	Others, e.g.
	Quantity of Inert C&D	the	other	as		cardboard		Waste	general
Month	Materials	Contract ³ (B)	Projects ³	Public Fill ³		packaging			refuse
	Generated ^{2 3} (A)		(C)	(D)					
	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in '000m ³)	(in	(in '000m ³)
								'000m³)	
Total (2019)	2.284	0.0000	0.0000	2.284	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0358
Jan	3.8964	0.0000	0.0000	3.8964	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0145
Feb	2.8284	0.0000	0.0000	2.8284	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0075
Mar	7.8279	0.0000	0.0000	7.8279	13.9100	0.9000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0212
Apr	9.3300	0.0000	0.0000	9.3300	10.0000	0.3300	0.0000	0.0000	0.0303
May	7.3166	0.0000	1.7271	5.5895	2.1300	0.0000	0.0000	0.7040	0.0145
Jun	9.5051	0.0000	8.9591	0.5460	8.9100	0.3100	0.0000	0.0000	0.0125
Sub-Total (2020)	40.7044	0.0000	10.6862	30.0182	34.9500	1.5400	0.0000	0.7040	0.1005
Jul	11.1037	0.0000	9.3582	1.7455	0.0000	0.3600	0.0000	0.0000	0.0319
Aug	20.6882	0.0000	18.1577	2.5305	10.4920	0.2200	0.0032	1.2320	0.0269
Sep	20.0092	0.0000	19.9242	0.0850	0.0030	0.0000	0.0070	0.0000	0.0238
Oct	8.1947	0.0000	7.7723	0.4224	3.7410	0.0000	0.0042	0.8800	0.0132
Nov	2.8801	0.0000	0.0000	2.8801	0.0000	0.7100	0.0075	0.0000	0.0491
Total (2020)	103.5803	0.0000	65.8986	37.6817	49.1860	2.8300	0.0219	2.8160	0.2454
Total accumulated waste quantity	105.8643	0.0000	65.8986	39.9657	49.1860	2.8300	0.0219	2.8160	0.2812

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table (2020)

Notes:

1. Following assumption is made for calculation:

i) 1m³ of inert material weight 2.2 tonne;

ii) 1m³ of non-inert material weight 1.6 tonne;

iii) 1m³ of chemical waste weight 0.88 tonne;

2. Total Quantity of Inert C&D Materials (A) should reflect total quantities of C&D materials (including rock, broken concrete, soil, asphalt, slurry and bentonite) generated from site;

3. Disposed as Public Fill (D) = Total Quantity of Inert C&D Materials Generated (A) – Reused in the Contract (B) – Reused in other Projects (C)